## Chlorhexidine (digluconate)

MedChemExpress

Cat. No.:	HY-B0608
CAS No.:	18472-51-0
Molecular Formula:	$C_{34}H_{54}Cl_2N_{10}O_{14}$
Molecular Weight:	897.76
Target:	Bacterial; Antibiotic; Apoptosis; Necroptosis
Pathway:	Anti-infection; Apoptosis
Storage:	Solution, -20°C, 2 years

## SOLVENT & SOLUBILITY

In Vitro	H <sub>2</sub> O : 100 mg/mL (111.39 mM; Need ultrasonic) DMSO : ≥ 38 mg/mL (42.33 mM) * "≥" means soluble, but saturation unknown.
In Vivo	1. Add each solvent one by one: PBS Solubility: 100 mg/mL (111.39 mM); Clear solution; Need ultrasonic

BIOLOGICAL ACTIV		
Description	Chlorhexidine digluconate and fungi. Chlorhexidine di to prevent and control infec a cytotoxic agent and induc	is a chlorophenyl biguanide with broad antibacterial action against both Gram (+) and (-) bacteria gluconate is a broad-spectrum antiseptic and disinfectant. Chlorhexidine digluconate is effective ctious diseases of the mouth by killing bacteria in saliva and tongue. Chlorhexidine digluconate is ces cell necrosis and apoptosis <sup>[1][2][3]</sup> .
In Vitro	Chlorhexidine digluconate Chlorhexidine digluconate manner <sup>[2]</sup> . Chlorhexidine digluconate and 5.47%, respectively. Th MCE has not independently Cell Cytotoxicity Assay <sup>[2]</sup>	(0.00001%, 0.00005%, 0.0001%, 0.0005%; 1-4 h) demonstrates a cytotoxic effect on CHO cells. reduces the activity of dehydrogenase of CHO cells in a dose-dependent and a time-dependent (0.00005%, 0.0001%, 0.0005%; 1 h) causes the amount of cell apoptosis of about 1.62%, 5.51%, ne amount of cell necrosis was 2.38%, 8.62%, and 22.50%, respectively <sup>[2]</sup> . y confirmed the accuracy of these methods. They are for reference only.
	Cell Line:	Chinese hamster ovary cells
	Concentration:	0.00001%, 0.00005%, 0.0001%, 0.0005%
	Incubation Time:	1, 2, 4 h
	Result:	Demonstrated a cytotoxic effect on CHO cells.
	Apoptosis Analysis <sup>[2]</sup>	

 $\begin{array}{c} C_{1} & \underset{H}{\overset{OH}{\longrightarrow}} H & \underset{H}{\overset{H}{\longrightarrow}} H & \underset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\longrightarrow}} H & \underset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}$ 

H H NH

	Cell Line:	Chinese hamster ovary cells
	Concentration:	0.00005%, 0.0001%, 0.0005%
	Incubation Time:	1 h
	Result:	After a 1 hour treatment, the amount of cell apoptosis was about 1.62%, 5.51%, and 5.47%, respectively.
		The amount of cell necrosis was 2.36%, 8.62%, and 22.30%, respectively.
10	Chlorhexidine gluconat incidence of postopera MCE has not independe	te (0.05%, 0.025%; One-time intraperitoneal injection) with 0.05% lavage has significantly lower tive intra-abdominal abscesses compared with the mice that had saline lavage only <sup>[1]</sup> . ently confirmed the accuracy of these methods. They are for reference only.
/0	Chlorhexidine gluconat incidence of postopera MCE has not independe Animal Model:	te (0.05%, 0.025%; One-time intraperitoneal injection) with 0.05% lavage has significantly lower tive intra-abdominal abscesses compared with the mice that had saline lavage only <sup>[1]</sup> . ently confirmed the accuracy of these methods. They are for reference only. Male Imprinting Control Region (ICR) mice at 6 to 8-wk-old (body weight, 25g) <sup>[1]</sup>
/0	Chlorhexidine gluconat incidence of postopera MCE has not independe Animal Model: Dosage:	te (0.05%, 0.025%; One-time intraperitoneal injection) with 0.05% lavage has significantly lower tive intra-abdominal abscesses compared with the mice that had saline lavage only <sup>[1]</sup> . ently confirmed the accuracy of these methods. They are for reference only. Male Imprinting Control Region (ICR) mice at 6 to 8-wk-old (body weight, 25g) <sup>[1]</sup> Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.05% and 0.025%
/0	Chlorhexidine gluconat incidence of postopera MCE has not independe Animal Model: Dosage: Administration:	te (0.05%, 0.025%; One-time intraperitoneal injection) with 0.05% lavage has significantly lower tive intra-abdominal abscesses compared with the mice that had saline lavage only <sup>[1]</sup> . ently confirmed the accuracy of these methods. They are for reference only. Male Imprinting Control Region (ICR) mice at 6 to 8-wk-old (body weight, 25g) <sup>[1]</sup> Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.05% and 0.025% One-time intraperitoneal injection

## CUSTOMER VALIDATION

- Nat Commun. 2021 Mar 29;12(1):1940.
- Cell Death Dis. 2022 Apr 22;13(4):396.
- Mol Oncol. 2020 Feb;14(2):373-386.
- Front Cell Dev Biol. 30 March 2021.
- Transl Oncol. 2024 Apr 24:45:101958.

See more customer validations on www.MedChemExpress.com

## REFERENCES

[1]. Hon-Yeung Cheung, et al. Differential actions of chlorhexidine on the cell wall of Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e36659.

[2]. Wael E Shams, et al. Peritoneal Lavage Using Chlorhexidine Gluconate at the End of Colon Surgery Reduces Postoperative Intra-Abdominal Infection in Mice. J Surg Res. 2015 May 1;195(1):121-7.

[3]. Yi-Ching Li, et al. Assessment of the cytotoxicity of chlorhexidine by employing an in vitro mammalian test system. Journal of Dental Sciences. Volume 9, Issue 2, June 2014, Pages 130-135.

Caution: Product has not been fully validated for medical applications. For research use only.

Tel: 609-228-6898

Fax: 609-228-5909 E-mail: tech@MedChemExpress.com

Address: 1 Deer Park Dr, Suite Q, Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852, USA